A&A 392, 277–286 (2002) DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361:20020907 © ESO 2002



6.7 GHz methanol masers at sites of star formation

A blind survey of the Galactic plane between $20^{\circ} \le l \le 40^{\circ}$ and $|b| \le 0.52$

M. Szymczak, A. J. Kus, G. Hrynek, A. Kępa, and E. Pazderski

Toruń Centre for Astronomy, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Gagarina 11, 87-100 Toruń, Poland

Received 30 April 2002 / Accepted 6 June 2002

Abstract. We report the results of an unbiased survey for 6.7 GHz methanol maser emission of a ~21 deg² strip of the Galactic plane carried out with the 32 m Toruń radio telescope. An area at $20^{\circ} \le l \le 40^{\circ}$, $|b| \le 0.52$ was surveyed in an equilateral triangular grid with a sensitivity limit of about 1.6 Jy. We detected a total of 100 sources, 26 of which are new detections. All the new sources are of moderate intensity and their peak flux densities have median value of 6.5 Jy, i.e. about half that of previously known sources in the sample. About 80% of maser sources have IR counterpart candidates within a 1' radius but not all the IRAS counterparts of methanol masers have colours typical of ultracompact HII regions. An excess of masers unassociated with IR sources occurs at $30^{\circ} < l < 35^{\circ}$ because of incompleteness of IR catalogues due to strong confusion near the tangential region of the spiral arm. Our unbiased survey doubled the number of detections as compared to IRAS-based observations. Within the positional uncertainty of 1' about one third of the methanol sources have radio continuum counterparts at 5 GHz of a flux density greater than 2.5–10 mJy. The distribution of methanol sources appears to be consistent with a clustered mode of formation of massive stars.

Key words. masers - surveys - stars: formation - ISM: molecules - radio lines: ISM - HII regions

1. Introduction

Central to the use of masers in studies of molecular clouds with star-forming activity have been the surveys that have provided large samples for subsequent investigations. The 6.7 GHz methanol transition (Menten 1991) has recently joined the OH and H_2O masers as a powerful tool in identifying the sites of massive stars, which are probably at the earliest stages of evolution, and in studying the structure of their environments. A large survey in the CH₃OH maser line performed by Caswell et al. (1995) mostly towards southern OH objects or other sites of star formation has resulted in the detection of 245 sources.

Several new detections were made in extensive surveys of IRAS selected samples of ultracompact HII (UCHII) region candidates (Schutte et al. 1993; van der Walt et al. 1995; 1996; Walsh et al. 1997; MacLeod et al. 1998; Slysh et al. 1999; Szymczak et al. 2000). In the sample of 535 southern UCHII candidates searched by Walsh et al. (1997) maser emission at 6.7 GHz occurred in 201 objects. For the sample of 1399 northern UCHII candidates with much less stringent criteria (Wood & Churchwell 1989; Hughes & MacLeod 1989) imposed for IRAS colours, 182 methanol masers were found (Szymczak et al. 2000). These studies clearly demonstrate that

Send offprint requests to: M. Szymczak, e-mail: msz@astro.uni.torun.pl the detection rate strongly depends on the IRAS colours; in fact almost all UCHII region candidates with extremely red colours are 6.7 GHz maser sources. This can imply a close relationship between the occurrence of CH₃OH maser phenomena and the evolutionary stage of powering stars. The effect of the farinfrared flux density and the galactic location on the methanol detection rate is also well documented (e.g. Szymczak & Kus 2000). However, they have shown that about 18% of methanol sources have IRAS colours well outside the limits established for UCHII regions by Wood & Churchwell (1989). This result may suggest a population of 6.7 GHz masers not associated with typical regions of massive star formation. A support for this view has been provided by the unbiased survey of the region of the Galactic plane by Ellingsen et al. (1996b). They found that many 6.7 GHz methanol masers are not associated with IRAS sources, while some maser sources have colours atypical for the UCHII regions. The observations with high angular resolution also revealed that several 6.7 GHz maser sites are not associated with or are offset from the UCHII regions (Ellingsen et al. 1996a; Phillips et al. 1998; Walsh et al. 1998). It has been postulated that some methanol sources associated with OB star formation appear before the UCHII regions form or they are associated with less massive protostars (Caswell 1996; Ellingsen et al. 1996b).

Here we present the results of the first part of a blind survey of the Galactic plane, unbiased by previous infrared information. Such a survey would be productive to enhance the sample of 6.7 GHz masers and helpful to elucidate the general problems outlined above. It can be a starting point for comprehensive studies of individual objects by other means.

2. Observations

The observations were carried out using the Toruń 32 m telescope over a number of sessions between February and October 2000. The half-power beam width (HPBW) of the antenna at 6.7 GHz was 5.5. The telescope was equipped with a dual-channel HEMT receiver accepting two circular polarizations. The system noise level was about 350 Jy under good weather conditions. The backend was a 2^{14} -channel autocorrelation spectrometer operating in 2-bit mode. We used it in two parts of 4096 channels each with a bandwidth of 4 MHz. The resulting spectral resolution was 0.04 km s⁻¹ and the velocity coverage was ± 90 km s⁻¹. The bandwidth was always centred at the local standard of rest velocity of 40 km s⁻¹.

The total area of 20.8 deg², in the longitude range from 20° to 40° and latitude range from $-0^{\circ}.52$ to 0°.52, was surveyed in an equilateral triangular grid pattern. The separation of each point of this grid from all adjacent points was 4/4 (0.8 the HPBW at 6.668518 GHz) and about 4800 sky positions were sampled. The spectra were taken in total power mode with 10 min integration time at each grid point. The spectral line flux densities were continuously calibrated by measuring the receiver response to the signal from a noise diode of known temperature. The behaviour of the system was checked through continuum observations of 3C 123 and Vir A assuming flux densities from Ott et al. (1994). The accuracy of the absolute flux density calibration was usually better than 15%.

The approximate position of each maser was estimated in the initial survey. A better position was determined by observing a grid of nine points centred on the approximate position. The accuracy of position measurements of methanol sources was better than 30" for all objects with a 10σ detection or better. For stronger sources the positional accuracy did not improve significantly, as expected from the theoretical value of the order of the HPBW divided by the signal to noise ratio, because it was limited by pointing errors of the antenna of about 25".

The data were reduced applying standard procedures. The average 3σ sensitivity level in the spectra after averaging the two polarizations was 1.6 Jy. However, due to a wide variety of observing conditions, 1σ varied between 0.4 Jy to 0.7 Jy. All sky positions with poor quality spectra ($1\sigma > 0.7$ Jy) were reobserved in better weather conditions to achieve a sensitivity in the range mentioned above. The absolute radial velocity may have an error of ± 0.4 km s⁻¹.

To estimate the completeness limit (the peak flux density for which the probability of maser detection is 0.99) of the survey we applied a simple approach outlined by Johannson et al. (1977). Using the 3σ detection level of 1.6 Jy we calculated that all the sources of peak flux density greater than 5.2 Jy were detected within the surveyed area.

3. Results

Table 1 lists the maser sources we did detect; their galactic coordinates used as the name, the equatorial coordinates for epoch J2000 as measured in this work, the velocity range of maser emission ΔV , the velocity of peak emission V_p , the peak flux density S_p , the integrated flux density S_i , the reference of the discovery and the two last columns give the position offset and the name of the associated IR sources discussed in the next section. In total there are 100 sources, 26 of which were detected for the first time. The spectra of all the new sources are presented in Fig. 1.

The new discoveries were relatively weak sources with S_p lower than 28 Jy and the median value of S_p was 6.5 Jy. The median peak flux density of the known sources was 12.2 Jy while S_p were in the range of 1.9 to 473.7 Jy. The velocity spread for the new sources of median value of 7 km s⁻¹ was comparable with 7.5 km s⁻¹ observed for the known sources.

4. Methanol emission and other signposts of star formation

4.1. IR sources

The positional accuracy of maser sources depends upon the peak flux density of the strongest feature used for measurements. For the 32 m antenna it was usually better than 30'' for sources with the flux density greater than 10 Jy. However, it was as poor as 70'' for sources with the flux density of a few Jy. Considering that the pointing errors were about 25'' and the positional uncertainties of IRAS measurements were of about 30'' we cautiously assumed that each maser source could be associated with an IR object that is located within a radius of less than 1'.

We searched the SIMBAD data base for infrared counterparts of our detections. There are 50 maser sources for which IRAS (1986) counterpart candidates have been found within a 1' radius. A further 29 sources have been identified mainly in the MSX5C catalogue (Egan et al. 1999) using the same matching criterion. The positional differences Δ pos, are listed in Table 1 together with the name of IR counterpart candidate. Among the rest 21 detections, 15 and 6 objects have IR counterpart candidates, in the IRAS and/or MSX5C catalogues, within radii of 90 and 120" respectively. Those associations require to be verified by more precise measurements of methanol positions.

In the group of 50 sources associated with IRAS objects within the average positional uncertainty of 28" there are 20 objects of high quality IRAS measurements at 12, 25 and 60 μ m bands and the colours can be well determined. Figure 2 shows the two colour diagram for all 50 IRAS sources of our methanol detections. Almost all the sources with well determined colours lie inside the colour limits established for UCHII regions (Wood & Churchwell 1989, hereafter WC89). The colours of only three sources do not satisfy WC89 criteria but two of those, IRAS 18278–1009 and IRAS 18441–0134 satisfy less stringent criteria for UCHII regions (Hughes & MacLeod 1989, hereafter HM89). There is

Table 1. 6.7 GHz methanol masers in the region $l = 20^{\circ}-40^{\circ}$, |b| = 0.52. ΔV is the velocity range of maser emission and V_p is the velocity of peak emission. S_p and S_i are the peak flux density and the integrated flux density, respectively. Δpos is the position difference between methanol source and its infrared counterpart candidate.

Source (l, b)	$\alpha(2000)$	$\delta(2000)$	ΔV	V_{n}	S.	Si	Ref	Anos	IR counterpart
504100 (1,0)	a(2000)	0(2000)	$(km s^{-1})$	$(km s^{-1})$	$(\mathbf{I}\mathbf{v})$	$(Iv km s^{-1})$	det	(arcsec)	candidate
••••••	10.00.10.0		(113)	(KIII 5)	(39)	(Jy KIII S)		(uresee)	
$20.08 - 0.14^{\circ}$	18 28 10.8	-11 28 44		43.7	1.6	0.6	4	8.2	IRAS 18253–1130
20.24 + 0.07	18 27 45.0	-11 14 41	+60; +78	72.0	40.6	32.8	2	10.5	IRAS 18249–1116
21.41 - 0.25	18 31 06.3	-10 21 37	+86; +92	89.1	5.2	5.9	8		
21.57 - 0.03	18 30 36.5	-10 06 44	+109; +121	117.2	8.8	13.5	8	32.7	IRAS 18278-1009
$21.87 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	18 31 02.0	-09 49 03	+20; +22	20.5	2.7	1.8	2	9.6	IRAS 18282-0951
22.05 ± 0.22	18 30 35.7	-09 34 26	+44; +55	53.8	6.1	9.1	8	38.0	IRAS 18278-0936
22.34-0.16	18 32 32.1	-09 29 10	+25;+40	35.5	28.1	22.1	1	25.2	IRAS 18297-0931
22.35+0.06	18 31 45.6	-09 22 44	+79; +89	80.1	38.7	28.1	3	35.1	IRAS 18290-0924
22.45-0.17	18 32 45.8	-09 24 06	+24;+40	29.5	12.3	24.3	4	37.8	G022.4595-00.1657
23.01-0.41	18 34 40.6	-09 00 28	+72; +84	74.2	188.5	431.3	4	1.8	g02301-1 ^h
23.19-0.38	18 34 54.5	-08 50 04	+73; +83	81.8	23.4	58.2	8		
23.26-0.24	18 34 30.8	-08 42 42	+63; +66	64.0	5.0	6.0	3	52.8	IRAS 18317-0845
23.39+0.19	18 33 13.1	-08 23 56	+71; +78	74.5	19.3	35.5	8	14.7	IRAS 18305-0826
23.44-0.18	18 34 38.8	-08 31 37	+95; +113	103.2	42.1	80.5	2	11.8	$g02343-1^{h}$
23.49 ± 0.08	18 33 47.4	-08 21 37	+81: +89	87.1	10.7	8.2	3		0
23.66-0.13	18 34 51.7	-08 18 16	+75: +88	82.3	7.8	21.6	8	9.5	IRAS 18321-0820
23.97-0.11	18 35 22.9	-08 01 11	+61:+72	71.0	13.1	10.0	8	52.3	G023.9843-00.1117
24 15-0.01	18 35 20.8	-074848	+16:+19	17.6	25.8	18.4	8	62	IRAS 18326-0751
24.13 + 0.01 24.33 ± 0.14	18 35 09 6	-07 34 53	$\pm 107: \pm 116$	110.4	23.0	0.5	2	20.4	IRAS 18324_0737
24.53 ± 0.14	18 36 08 7	-07 30 55	+107, +110 +100, +116	114.8	14.2	9.5 14.0	5	20.4	IRAS 18324-0737
24.31-0.03	18 30 08.7	-07 30 33	+109, +110	114.0	14.2	14.9	5	30.0	IKAS 16554-0755
24.53+0.32	18 34 53.0	-07 19 11	+103; +111	106.5	12.2	23.0	8	46.7	IRAS 18322-0721
24.64-0.33	18 37 25.2	-07 31 53	+35; +45	35.4	2.3	2.9	1	42.6	IRAS 18346-0734
24.79+0.09 ^a	18 36 11.9	-07 12 10	+106; +115	113.6	58.5	85.9	2	18.3	g02478-1 ^h
24.85+0.09 ^a	18 36 17.4	-07 08 58	+109; +115	110.0	13.8	19.4	4	16.4	IRAS 18335-0711
24.93+0.08 ^a	18 36 29.2	-07 05 05	+46; +54	53.4	4.2	4.4	1	28.7	IRAS 18337-0707
25.40+0.11	18 37 15.6	-06 39 05	+93; +98	94.7	3.7	5.6	5	39.8	IRAS 18345-0641
25.71+0.04 ^a	18 38 03.1	-06 24 32	+89; +101	95.6	473.7	414.8	6	37.5	G025.7157+00.0487
25.83-0.18	18 39 04.7	-06 24 17	+90:+100	91.6	65.8	89.2	3	44.3	G025.8197-00.1868
$26.59 - 0.01^{b}$	18 39 52.8	-05 38 48	+22: +26	22.9	18.4	12.1	8	55.8	G026.5972-00.0235
26.60-0.24	18 40 42.1	-05 44 21	+102; +116	103.3	14.6	18.2	5		
			-, -						
$26.65 + 0.02^{a}$	18 39 51.8	-05 34 52		107.6	3.5	1.2	8	22.2	IRAS 18372-0537
27.21+0.26	18 40 03.8	-04 58 09	+7; +10	9.1	2.8	3.2	1	42.0	1840000-045806 ^f
27.22+0.14	18 40 29.6	-05 01 09	+109; +121	118.7	9.9	20.3	1	15.3	G027.2217+00.1362
27.28 ± 0.15^{b}	18 40 33.9	-04 57 18	+34; +36	34.9	23.2	13.6	7	21.4	IRAS 18379-0500
$27.36 - 0.16^{b}$	18 41 50.7	-05 01 45	+97; +102	100.3	18.3	20.6	4	27.7	IRAS 18391-0504
27.78+0.07	18 41 47.5	-04 33 11	+112; +114	112.3	2.4	1.8	8	49.1	G027.7840+00.0570
28.02 - 0.44	18 44 02.1	-04 34 14	+15; +28	16.0	3.7	5.1	1	33.0	G028.0245-00.4478
28.15 ± 0.00	18 42 41.0	-04 15 21	+98; +105	101.4	58.1	27.1	4	12.8	G028.1464-00.0008
$28.19 - 0.05^{b}$	18 42 57.8	-04 14 21	+94:+102	97.2	2.5	2.7	4	35.6	IRAS 18403-0417
$28.31 - 0.40^{a}$	18 44 24.9	-04 17 56	+79; +94	81.1	63.6	61.7	3		
			, -						
$28.40 + 0.07^{a}$	18 42 54.5	-04 00 04	+68; +73	68.9	4.2	5.1	8	12.8	IRAS 18402-0403
28.53+0.12	18 42 57.7	-03 51 59	+24; +28	27.1	1.3	1.2	1	25.7	IRAS 18403-0354
28.69 ± 0.41	18 42 13.6	-03 35 07		94.2	4.2	0.6	1	19.2	IRAS 18396-0337
28.82 ± 0.37	18 42 35.2	-03 29 26	+86; +93	90.7	7.2	12.9	1	18.8	G028.8174+00.3655
28.84 - 0.25	18 44 50.0	-03 45 23	+80; +93	83.5	72.6	122.5	2	30.1	IRAS 18421-0348
28.84-0.23	18 44 47.1	-03 44 39	+99; +104	100.3	1.9	1.2	4	54.5	IRAS 18421-0348
28.85 ± 0.50	18 42 12.8	-03 24 26	+80; +90	83.5	2.7	2.1	1		
29.31-0.15	18 45 23.1	-03 17 23	+43; +50	48.7	2.8	3.1	1	44.2	IRAS 18427-0320
29.86-0.05	18 46 01.6	-02 45 26	+100; +105	101.4	52.2	71.7	4	39.5	G029.8591-00.0608
$29.95 - 0.02^{b}$	18 46 03.8	-02 39 25	+95; +102	95.9	132.0	200.5	2	25.4	IRAS 18434-0242

Table 1. continued.

Source (l, b)	$\alpha(2000)$	$\delta(2000)$	ΔV	Vn	S.	S:	Ref.	Apos	IR counterpart
504100 (1,0)	a(2000)	0(2000)	$(km s^{-1})$	$(km s^{-1})$	$(\mathbf{J}\mathbf{v})$	$(Jv km s^{-1})$	det.	(arcsec)	candidate
29 97-0 04	18 46 11 4	-02 39 14	+102:+106	103.2	23.5	30.5	4	(410000)	Vallardate
30.20-0.17	18 47 04.2	-023036	+102; +100 +103: +111	108.7	22.8	30.5	2	3.9	G030,1990-00,1693
$30.22 - 0.19^{a}$	18 47 09.8	-022938	+111; +115	112.8	13.6	15.7	4	39.3	G030.2212-00.1790
30.31 ± 0.07	18 46 23 7	-021756	+31: +51	36.4	8 5	17.1	8	0,10	
30 40-0 29	18 47 51 2	-022315	+98. +106	98.4	5.1	7.8	1		
30.59-0.13	18 47 37 2	$-02\ 23\ 15$ $-02\ 08\ 46$	190, 1100	115.5	2.1	0.7	1	19.0	G030 5945-00 1273
30.59 - 0.13 $30.59 - 0.04^{a}$	18 47 18 5	-02 06 23	+40.+49	43.1	6.2	8.2	2	20.1	IRAS 18446-0209
30.70_0.07°	18 47 36 5	$-02\ 00\ 23$	+85: +92	45.1 88 1	0.2	60.2	4	20.1	FIR 30 70 -0.07^{e}
$30.76 - 0.07^{b}$	18 47 30.3	-02 01 11	+80; +92	01.6	71 5	00.2	4	13.1	IDAS 18450 0200
30.70 - 0.03	18 46 41 2	-01 37 03	+09, +94	40.0	367	20.2	4	13.1	IKAS 18450-0200
30.78±0.23	18 40 41.2	-01 48 51	++7, +50	49.0	50.7	20.2	4		
30.79 ± 0.20	18 46 48.0	-01 48 56	+75: +90	88.0	19.8	45.9	4	18.8	G030.7951+00.1989
30.82 ± 0.27	18 46 37.4	-01 45 14	+100:+111	110.4	3.9	5.3	2	15.8	IRAS 18440-0148
30.82-0.05	18 47 46.2	-01 54 14	+92:+109	101.5	12.9	24.5	2	22.3	FIR 30.81–0.05 ^e
30.89 ± 0.17	18 47 07.3	-01 44 15	+98: +111	102.0	45.1	86.7	3		
30.96-0.14	18 48 19 7	-01 48 59	$+74^{\circ} + 80$	74.8	11.3	17.3	1		
31.05 ± 0.35^{a}	18 46 46 2	-01 31 06	+78:+82	80.7	37	66	8	17.2	IRAS 18441-0134
31.06 ± 0.09^{a}	18 47 42 1	-013733	+15:+21	16.3	22.0	14.5	3	17.2	
$31.00+0.05^{a}$	18 / 8 00 3	-01 34 01	113, 121	10.5	1.0	1 2	8	53.1	IRAS 18454_0136
31.13 ± 0.05	18 48 10 0	-01 34 01	+110++113	110.2	60.2	1.2	2	30.7	$C031 2808 \pm 00.0618$
31.27 ± 0.00 $31.41 \pm 0.31^{\circ}$	18 47 32 2	-01 20 44	+110, +115 +02. +106	06.0	11.1	36.8	2	22.0	IDAS 18440 0115
51.41±0.51	10 47 52.2	-01 12 49	+92, +100	90.0	11.1	50.8	5	22.0	IKAS 10449-0115
31.57+0.06	18 48 44.3	-01 11 18	+95:+100	98.8	3.1	4.6	8		
32.05 ± 0.06	18 49 37.3	-00 45 47	+92:+103	93.2	141.2	195.7	5	24.1	IRAS 18470-0049
$32.74 - 0.08^{a}$	18 51 22.8	-00.12.15	+29:+40	38.7	58.4	85.1	2	47.9	IRAS 18487–0015
32.98 ± 0.04^{b}	18 51 23 0	+00.03.46	+89.+93	92.0	30.7	23.3	5	47.7	IRAS 18488+0000
33 10-0.06	18 51 58 9	+00.07.27	+94. +105	104.2	24.4	35.4	4	.,.,	11115 1010010000
$33.13-0.09^d$	18 52 07 1	+00.07.56	+71.+81	73.1	16.3	19.6	2	51.9	IRAS 18496+0004
33.39 ± 0.03	18 52 10 6	+00.25.09	$+97. \pm 108$	105.0	31.0	61.3	7	51.9	1010010001
33.64-0.21	18 53 28 7	$\pm 00.31.58$	±58: ±64	60.3	108 7	154.6	8	20.1	G033 6455_00 2105
33 74-0.21	18 53 26.7	$\pm 00.31.00$	+53, +04 +53, +55	53.6	1.4	1 1	1	20.1	0055.0455-00.2105
33.74 - 0.13	18 53 05 2	+00.39.01	+53, +55	64.0	3.0	1.1	1	40.3	C033 8707 ± 00 0130
55.00+0.01	10 55 05.2	+00 +9 50	+00, +03	04.0	5.0	5.0	1	+0.5	0055.0707+00.0150
33.97-0.02	18 53 24.1	+00 55 13	+58: +66	59.2	3.8	7.4	8		
34.10 ± 0.01	18 53 31.9	$+01\ 02\ 26$	+54: +62	55.9	4.8	5.5	1	31.1	G034.0976+00.0183
34.24+0.13	18 53 21.5	+01 13 43	+54: +62	55.4	20.8	15.1	2	45.5	$G34.3+0.2S1^{g}$
34.25 ± 0.16^{b}	18 53 16.7	+01 14 49	+57: +62	57.8	32.4	25.8	2	47.1	IRAS 18507+0110
34.40+0.21	18 53 21.5	+012409	+55: +64	55.7	19.5	18.9	3	.,	11115 10207 10110
34 74-0 09	18 55 03 4	+013417	+50; +54	52.9	97	9.0	1		
35.02 ± 0.35^{a}	18 54 00 6	+02.00.50	+40: +47	44.2	38.9	33.8	2	18.9	G035 0252+00 3500
35 79-0 17	18 57 16 1	$+02\ 27\ 44$	+58:+65	60.8	24.5	38.1	1	26.1	IRAS 18547+0223
36.11 ± 0.55	18 55 15 6	+03.04.42	$\pm 69. \pm 85$	73.0	43.3	58.4	8	20.1	IRAS 18527 ± 0301
36.71 ± 0.09	18 58 00 9	+03 04 42 +03 23 30	$\pm 52: \pm 63$	53.3	86	07	1	20.5	IRAS 1855/+0301
50.70+0.09	10 50 00.9	+05 25 50	+52, +05	55.5	0.0	9.1	1	20.5	IKAS 10554+0519
37.02-0.03	18 58 59.9	+03 37 40	+77;+80	78.8	7.3	7.1	1		
$37.47 - 0.11^{a}$	19 00 06.7	+03 59 27	+54: +64	58.4	12.5	30.8	8	23.7	G037.4688-00.1034
$37.53 - 0.11^{b}$	19 00 14.4	$+04\ 02\ 35$	+48: +56	50.0	5.8	8.8	8	48.6	IRAS 18577+0358
37.55 ± 0.19	18 59 11 6	$+04\ 12\ 08$	+79:+87	83.6	8.1	12.0	7	41.0	IRAS 18566+0408
37.60 ± 0.12	18 58 28 5	$+04\ 20\ 34$	+84.+93	85.8	24.3	28.3	1	15 3	IRAS 18559+0416
38 03-0 30	19 01 50 0	$+04\ 23\ 54$	+55.+64	55.5	18.6	21.6	1	367	IRAS 18593+0410
38.12 - 0.30	19 01 77 6	$\pm 04 30 37$	$\pm 68. \pm 78$	70.0	10.0 A 7	73	1 8	587	IR Δ \$ 18507 \pm 0479
38 20-0.08	19 01 77 8	$\pm 04 30 10$	+70, ±85	70.0	2 	12.0	1	12.8	G038 1083_00 0820
38 76 0.00	19 01 22.0	$\pm 04 39 10$	$\pm 19, \pm 00$	15.0	7.0	76	1	24.0	IRAS 18580 - 0427
30.20 - 0.08	19 01 20.7	± 044202	+0, +10	15.4	1.9	7.0	1	24.U 11 C	INAS 10309+043/
39.10+0.48	19 00 39.3	+03 42 28	+12 +20	13.5	13.3	23.3	1	44.0	INAS 10303+0338

Masers associated with UCHII regions (Becker et al. 1994; Walsh et al. 1998; Lockman 1989): a weak source at 5 GHz, b bright source at 1.4 and 5 GHz, ^{*c*} continuum source with $S_{1.4\text{GHz}} > S_{5 \text{ GHz}}$, ^{*d*} source of recombination lines. Reference of the first detection: 1) this paper, 2) Menten (1991), 3) Schutte et al. (1993), 4) Caswell et al. (1995), 5) van der Walt et al. (1995),

6) Walsh et al. (1997), 7) Slysh et al. (1999), 8) Szymczak et al. (2000)

Infrared identifications; ^e Lester et al. (1985), ^f Skrutskie et al. (1997), ^g ISO (2001), ^h Testi et al. (1998).



Fig. 1. The 6.7 GHz spectra of the newly detected methanol sources.



Fig. 1. continued.



Fig. 1. continued.

one source IRAS 18305–0826 that has colours slightly outside the HM89 limits. We conclude that in the surveyed strip of the Galactic plane not all the IRAS sources associated with the 6.7 GHz masers have colours typical for UCHII regions.

In the surveyed area there are 209 IRAS sources with a flux density at 60 μ m greater than 20 Jy and colours satisfying WC criteria. This implies ~13% (27/209) probability of the detection of 6.7 GHz maser emission. The probability of CH₃OH maser association slightly increases to 16% (17/106) for objects of high quality IRAS measurements at all 12, 25 and 60 μ m bands.

Within the surveyed area 21 masers do not have IR counterparts within 1' from their positions given in Table 1. Figure 3 shows a histogram of the galactic longitude distribution of these sources. The number of methanol sources reaches a maximum near the galactic longitude of about 30°. This enhancement appears within $\sim 4^{\circ}$ line of sight that is tangential to the Scutum spiral arm as determined by Georgelin & Georgelin (1976). Because of uncertain kinematic distances it does not implicate unambiguously the 6.7 GHz methanol emission as a tracer of spiral arms. For $30^{\circ} < l < 35^{\circ}$ the relative number of methanol sources without IR associations significantly increases. A plausible cause is that many sources were not included in the IRAS catalogue as their flux density measurements and positions were severely confused due to a large number of sources and/or diffuse emission.

4.2. Radio continuum sources

There are 32 methanol sources, with names marked in Table 1, which lie within 1' of the UCHII region recognized by radio continuum emission (Becker et al. 1994; Walsh et al. 1998) or radio recombination lines (Lockman 1989). Object 33.13–0.09 has been found as an emitter of recombination lines



Fig. 2. Colour–colour diagram for all IRAS sources associated with the 6.7 GHz methanol maser emission detected in the survey. The circles and crosses indicate the sources with well determined colours and poorly determined or undetermined colours, respectively. Solid and dashed lines delineate the WC89 and HM89 limits for UCHII regions, respectively.



Fig. 3. Distribution in galactic longitude of the all detected methanol maser sources (solid line) and the sources without IR counterparts (dashed line). Relative number of the sources that have not IR counterparts is shown by thick solid line.

(Lockman 1989) but no radio continuum was detected by Becker et al. (1994). 16 out of 31 objects are weak continuum sources with a mean flux density of 12 mJy at 5 GHz and were not detected at 1.4 GHz (Becker et al. 1994). These are very likely to be primary UCHII regions. A further 11 out of 31 objects are bright sources (\geq 50 mJy at 5 GHz) with optically thick thermal emission. The other 4 objects having continuum flux at 1.4 GHz higher than that at 5 GHz are likely to be optically thin. The statistics of the occurrence of 6.7 GHz methanol masers associated with UCHII regions is meaningful as our blind survey results are compared with the VLA complete survey at 5 GHz of angular resolution of about 5" and a sensitivity of 2.5–10 mJy (Becker et al. 1994). We can conclude that about one third of our methanol sources are associated with UCHII regions of 5 GHz flux density ranging from 3.4 to 1127 mJy.

4.3. CO emission

Figure 4 is the galactic longitude – radial velocity diagram for the 6.7 GHz methanol sources superimposed on the CO emission map (Dame et al. 2001). With the exception of a few sources of a single emission feature the velocity plotted corresponds to the central velocity of the maser emission range rather than the velocity of the peak emission. The plot shows that only 13 sources have radial velocities lower than 40 km s⁻¹ while sources with radial velocities higher than 70 km s⁻¹ dominate. This implies that the majority of the methanol sources have the velocities in the range typical of the molecular ring (Cohen et al. 1986).

The distribution of masers in the l - V diagram is not uniform; for large areas covered by CO emission there are no methanol sources at all, while for others we observe clusters of various sizes. About 35% of sources are in clusters of up to 3–6 objects characterized by narrow ranges of galactic coordinates (<0.4°) and velocity (<10 km s⁻¹). A prominent cluster of 6 masers in the vicinity of the bright UCHII region G34.25+0.14 is located in a giant molecular cloud (Scoville et al. 1987) and has a linear extent of about 40 pc. We suggest that the l - V diagram of methanol sources reflects a clustered mode of massive star formation (Stahler et al. 2000).

5. Discussion

This blind survey yielded a number of detections per area bin very similar to that reported by Ellingsen et al. (1996b) for region $325^{\circ} < l < 335^{\circ}$ and $|b| \le 0^{\circ}.53$. In the longitude range of $25^{\circ} < l < 35^{\circ}$ we detected 61 sources while in the area in the fourth quadrant Ellingsen et al. found 50 sources. As the sensitivity limits of both surveys were similar we conclude that the probability of detecting methanol emission in the two galactic regions is comparable.

In the surveyed area, 5 known methanol sources were not detected. The sources IRAS 18305–0758, IRAS 18321–0843, IRAS 18341–0727 and IRAS 18403–0445 were detected by Szymczak et al. (2000) and 28.86+0.07 was detected by Caswell et al. (1995). All but one (IRAS 18305–0758) are weak masers with S_p < 3.5 Jy. Apparently, their emission diminished below our sensitivity limit after about one year.

In the surveyed area about half of the masers do not have IRAS counterpart candidates within 1'. Thus, the observations of IRAS selected samples underestimate the maser number by a factor of about two. This supports the results obtained by Ellingsen et al. (1996b). We have demonstrated convincingly that the number of unassociated masers significantly increases in the region where IRAS measurements are expected to be seriously affected by confusion. Searching for IR counterparts of methanol sources in various catalogues has further decreased the number of unassociated masers. We have noticed that only 6 sources do not have IR counterparts within 1'.5. They are usually weak methanol masers whose positions have



Fig. 4. Distribution of the radial velocity of methanol sources denoted by crosses as a function of galactic longitude, superimposed on the velocity distribution of the CO (J = 1-0) emission taken from Dame et al. (2001).

been poorly determined. Astrometric observations are highly desirable to verify whether these sources are really isolated.

Among the IRAS sources of well determined flux densities and associated with the methanol emission almost all have colours typical of UCHII regions as established by WC and MH criteria. For the IRAS sources with poorly determined colours we cannot assume, however, that all of these are related to the UCHII regions. A significant deficit of CH₃OH sources associated with the observed radio continuum emission was demonstrated when we searched for 5 GHz radio counterparts in Becker et al.'s (1994) catalogue. About two thirds of the methanol masers are not associated with radio continuum emission within 1'. Because our sample is flux density limited, we suggest that some sources are distant objects and their continuum emission is too weak to be detected in the VLA survey of 2.5–10 mJy sensitivity. However, for several sources we must assume the near kinematic distances because the far ones imply unrealistically high maser luminosity. In those cases the lack of 5 GHz emission is not a sensitivity effect. The present estimate of the probability that methanol sources are associated with detectable HII regions is consistent with the finding by Walsh et al. (1998) who detected, with a sensitivity of about 1 mJy, radio continuum emission only in about 20% of the sample of 233 methanol sources. Phillips et al. (1998) noted

a significantly higher success rate of 56% while observing the 8.6 GHz emission with a detection limit of about 0.5 mJy towards 45 methanol sources.

6. Conclusions

The blind survey for 6.7 GHz methanol emission has yielded 100 sources, of which 26 objects have not been catalogued before.

21% of methanol masers do not have infrared counterpart candidates within a 1' radius. The number of unassociated masers increases along the direction nearly tangent to the Scutum spiral arm ($30^{\circ} < l < 35^{\circ}$) very likely due to the exclusion of some sources from the IRAS catalogue caused by the large number of objects and the confusion of flux density measurements. Almost all IRAS objects with flux density measurements of good quality, unambiguously associated with methanol masers have colours typical of UCHII regions.

We have confirmed that the probability of 6.7 GHz emission detection in the IRAS selected samples is 13–16%. Furthermore, the IRAS-based searches underestimate the number of methanol sources by a factor of two.

The comparison of our data with the VLA complete survey maps at 5 GHz has revealed that about one third of methanol masers are associated with UCHII regions. The accurate positions of methanol sources could be used as a final check of this result.

Acknowledgements. We thank T. Dame for providing us with the maps of the CO survey. This research has made use of the SIMBAD database. We are grateful for KBN support through grants 2P03D01415 and 2P03D01122.

References

- Becker, R. H., White, R. L., Helfand, D. J., & Zoonematkermani, S. 1994, ApJS, 91, 347
- Caswell, J. L. 1996, MNRAS, 279, 79
- Caswell, J. L., Vaile, R. A., Ellingsen, S. P., Whiteoak, J. B., & Norris, R. P. 1995, MNRAS, 272, 96
- Cohen, R. S., Dame, T. M., & Thaddeus, P. 1986, ApJS, 60, 695
- Dame, T. M., Hartmann, D., & Thaddeus, P. 2001, ApJ, 547, 792
- Egan, M. P., Price, S. D., Moshir, M. M., et al. 1999, The Midcourse Space Experiment Point Source Catalog,
 - http://vizier.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/Cat?V/107
- Ellingsen, S. P., Norris, R. P., & McCulloch, P. M. 1996a, MNRAS, 279, 101
- Ellingsen, S. P., von Bibra, M. L., McCulloch, P. M., et al. 1996b, MNRAS, 280, 378
- Georgelin, Y. M., & Georgelin, Y. P. 1976, A&A, 49, 57
- Hughes, V. A., & MacLeod, G. C. 1989, AJ, 97, 786
- IRAS catalogue of Point Sources, 1986, Joint IRAS Science W.G., http://vizier.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/VizieR?source=II/125
- ISO Observation Log of validated data, 2001, ISO Data Centre, http://vizier.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/VizieR?source=B/iso
- Johansson, L. E. B., Andersson, C., Goss, W. M., & Winberg, A. 1977, A&A, 54, 323
- Lester, D. F., Dinerstein, H. L., Werner, M. W., et al. 1985, ApJ, 296, 565

- Lockman, F. J. 1989, ApJS, 71, 469
- MacLeod, G. C., van der Walt, D. J., North, A., et al. 1998, AJ, 116, 2936
- Menten, K. M. 1991, ApJ, 380, L75
- Ott, M., Witzel, A., Quirrenbach, A., et al. 1994, A&A, 284, 331
- Phillips, C. J., Norris, R. P., Ellingsen, S. P., & McCulloch, P. M. 1998, MNRAS, 300, 1131
- Schutte, A. J., van der Walt, D. J., Gaylard, M. J., & MacLeod, G. C. 1993, MNRAS, 261, 783
- Scoville, N. Z., Yun, M. S., Clemens, D. P., Sanders, D. B., & Waller, W. H. 1987, ApJS, 63, 821
- Skrutskie, M. F., Schneider, S. E., Stiening, R., et al. 1997, The Two Micron All Sky Survey, http://vizier.u-strasbg.fr/ cgi-bin/VizieR?-source=B/2mass
- Slysh, V. I., Val'tts, I. E., Kalenskii, S. V., et al. 1999, A&AS, 134, 115

- Stahler, S. W., Palla, F., & Ho, P. T. P. 2000, in Protostars and Planets IV, ed. V. Mannings, A. P. Boss, & S. S. Russell (Univ. of Arizona Press), 327
- Szymczak, M., Hrynek, G., & Kus, A. J. 2000, A&AS, 143, 269
- Szymczak, M., & Kus, A. J. 2000, A&A, 360, 311
- Testi, L., Felli, M., Persi, P., & Roth, M. 1998, A&AS, 129, 495
- van der Walt, D. J., Gaylard, M. J., & MacLeod, G. C. 1995, A&AS, 110, 81
- van der Walt, D. J., Retief, S. J. P., Gaylard, M. J., & MacLeod, G. C. 1996, MNRAS, 282, 1085
- Walsh, A. J., Burton, M. G., Hyland, A. R., & Robinson, G. 1998, MNRAS, 301, 640
- Walsh, A. J., Hyland, A. R., Robinson, G., & Burton, M. G. 1997, MNRAS, 291, 261
- Wood, D. O. S., & Churchwell, E. 1989, ApJ, 340, 265